



TIMBER PRICES

Ohio Department of Agriculture
Division of Markets

U.S. Department of Agriculture
Statistical Reporting Service

Ohio Department of Natural Resources
Division of Forestry

November 26, 1969

PRICES PAID OHIO TIMBER PRODUCERS - OCTOBER 1969

Timber prices in this report represent prices paid during October 1969 as reported by Ohio timber buyers. The report should be used only as a guide for determining the price and value of timber to be marketed. The current market supply and demand are the key factors in the price levels but other important factors in arriving at the sale price are: Accessibility; roads; right of ways; site and terrain; distance to market; tree or log size and quality. These factors explain the range in price shown for a specific grade, size and specie. The most recent comparable report represented prices paid in May 1969.

STUMPAGE PRICES - DOWN

Stumpage prices paid during October 1969 (Table 1) were weaker in each of the three areas when compared with May 1969 prices. Most species in each area displayed price drops.

DELIVERED SAW LOG PRICES MOSTLY LOWER

Prices paid Ohio timber producers for sawlogs delivered to buyers during October were stronger for most species used for lumber. However, walnut prices at \$148 per M board feet averaged \$22 less than in May and yellow poplar averaged \$5 less. Ash for handles at \$126 was down \$8 while logs for pallets and blocking at \$51 averaged \$3 higher than in May 1969.



TABLE 1 - Average and Range of Prices Paid Ohio Timber Producers for Stumpage By Area, Species, and Use October 1969 1/

Use and Species	Western		Northeastern		Southeastern	
	Av. Price	Range	Av. Price	Range	Av. Price	Range
Dollars Per M Board Feet						
SAWTIMBER						
Walnut	128	80-250	111	70-150	117	50-250
Cherry	74	60-120	62	29-100	51	22- 90
White Oak	54	25- 80	42	20- 65	39	12- 90
Hard or Sugar Maple	68	42-100	51	21- 80	39	12- 95
Ash	63	45-100	50	20- 80	40	16- 95
Red Oak	45	20- 70	45	20- 70	32	15- 75
Soft Maple	48	20- 60	39	20- 75	34	15- 95
Yellow Poplar	61	45- 70	44	20- 80	39	16- 95
Basswood	46	22- 65	36	20- 50	34	9- 90
Elm, Cottonwood, Beech, Sycamore, Hickory and Pin Oak	25	15- 45	23	15- 40	20	9- 65
Yellow Pine	-	-	-	-	28	16- 60
HANDLE TIMBER						
Ash	65	45- 80	-	-	-	-
PALLET OR BLOCKING						
All species	25	15- 35	21	15- 30	27	10- 60
COOPERAGE						
White Oak	-	-	-	-	-	-
PULPWOOD						
All species	-	-	-	-	-	-

1/Dash indicates too few reports to quote a range or average.

TABLE 2 - Range of Prices Paid Ohio Timber Producers for Sawlogs Delivered To Buyers, October 1969

Use and Species	Price for All Grades		Prime	No. 1	No. 2	No. 3
	Average	Range	16" & Up 90% Clear	14" & Up 75% Clear	12" & Up 50% Clear	and Culls
Dollars Per M Board Feet						
FOR LUMBER						
Walnut	148	50-300	150-610	100-310	50-200	35-150
Cherry	93	50-150	100-200	70-150	50-140	45- 70
White Oak	79	50-130	65-200	50-150	40-100	40- 60
Hard Maple	87	50-130	100-160	60-120	50-100	35- 60
Soft Maple	77	50-120	85-150	60-105	45- 80	35- 60
Red Oak	72	50-110	50-150	60-110	45- 80	35- 60
Ash	86	50-130	70-160	50-150	50-100	35- 60
Yellow Poplar	81	50-140	80-150	70-120	50- 80	40- 70
Elm, Beech, Cottonwood, and Hickory	53	20- 70	45- 80	45- 60	40- 60	40- 60
Basswood	71	45-120	70-140	50-100	40- 80	40- 60
Other 1/	64	50-100	55-110	55- 75	50- 75	50- 75
FOR CONTAINER VENEER						
Yellow Poplar and Cucumber	-	-				
Basswood	-	-				
Soft Maple	88	85- 90				
Hard Maple	105	100-110				
Cottonwood	-	-				
Blackgum	-	-				
Beech and Elm	88	85- 90				
FOR HANDLES						
Ash	126	116-130				
FOR PALLETS AND BLOCKING						
All species	51	45- 65				

1/Includes Burr Oak, Pine, Cedar, Hackberry, Sycamore, Aspen, E. Hemlock, and Elm.

TABLE 3 - Average Prices Paid Ohio Timber Producers for Sawlogs Delivered to Buyers October 1969 with Comparisons 1/

Use and Species	Average		Prime		No. 1		No. 2		No. 3	
	May 1969	Oct. 1969	16" & Up 90% Clear	14" & Up 75% Clear	12" & Up 50% Clear	and Culls	May 1969	Oct. 1969	May 1969	Oct. 1969
Dollars Per M Board Feet										
FOR LUMBER										
Walnut	170	148	312	323	182	207	108	129	73	77
Cherry	91	93	139	142	106	110	75	77	52	54
White Oak	72	79	106	118	79	88	59	60	49	50
Hard Maple	83	87	127	130	93	98	67	68	51	52
Soft Maple	74	77	110	115	83	88	61	61	51	51
Red Oak	69	72	99	98	77	81	57	58	50	50
Ash	80	86	126	128	96	97	66	66	53	52
Yellow Poplar	86	81	117	120	90	92	67	66	52	52
Elm, Beech, Cottonwood, and Hickory	51	53	66	62	58	54	52	51	49	50
Basswood	72	71	106	103	83	80	65	59	51	53
Other 2/	61	64	87	92	-	67	-	60	58	58
FOR CONTAINER VENEER										
Yellow Poplar & Cucumber	-	-								
Basswood	-	-								
Soft Maple	-	88								
Hard Maple	102	105								
Cottonwood	-	-								
Blackgum	-	-								
Beech and Elm	82	88								
FOR HANDLES										
Ash	134	126								
FOR PALLETS AND BLOCKING										
All species	48	51								

1/Dash indicates too few reports to quote an average. 2/Includes Burr Oak, Pine, Cedar, Hackberry, Sycamore, Aspen, E. Hemlock, and Elm.

TABLE 4 - Range of Prices Paid Ohio Timber Producers for Face and Commercial Veneer Stumpage, October 1969 1/

Species	16" - 20"		21" - 23"		24" - 27"		28"+	
	Prime	Select	Prime	Select	Prime	Select	Prime	Select
Dollars Per M Board Feet								
Walnut	350-1500	250-800	250-2000	-	250-2000	-	250-3000	-
White Oak	120- 150	-	150- 250	-	150- 275	-	250- 300	-
Cherry	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Others <u>2/</u>	125- 150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1/Dash indicates too few reports to quote a range. 2/Includes Red Oak, White Ash, and Hard Maple.

TABLE 5 - Average Prices Paid Ohio Timber Producers for Face and Commercial Veneer Stumpage, October 1969 with Comparisons 1/

Species and Month		16" - 20"		21" - 23"		24" - 27"		28" +	
		Prime	Select	Prime	Select	Prime	Select	Prime	Select
Dollars Per M Board Feet									
Walnut	May '69	904	662	1275	896	1506	986	1706	1093
	Oct. '69	955	550	1060	-	1129	-	1738	-
White Oak	May '69	144	88	181	-	216	138	219	140
	Oct. '69	138	-	192	-	229	-	250	-
Cherry	May '69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Oct. '69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Others <u>2/</u>	May '69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Oct. '69	141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1/Dash indicates too few reports to quote an average. 2/Included Red Oak, White Ash, and Hard Maple.

TABLE 6 - Range of Prices Paid Ohio Timber Producers for Hardwoods and Softwoods Delivered for Pulpwood, Charcoal and Ferro Alloy, October 1969 1/

	Unpeeled		Slab	
	Dollars Per Ton			
<u>HARDWOOD</u>	4.00 - 7.57		3.50 - 4.00	
<u>SOFTWOOD</u>	4.00 - 7.00		-	

1/Dash indicates too few reports to quote a range.

The Division of Forestry, Ohio Department of Natural Resources; The Division of Markets, Ohio Department of Agriculture and the Statistical Reporting Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture are grateful for the cooperation of the buyers, mills and others which made possible this report on Ohio timber products. The next report will be issued in May 1970.

 * "State funds for this project (publication) *
 * were matched with Federal funds under the *
 * Matching Funds Program of the Consumer and *
 * Marketing Service, U. S. Department of Agri-*
 * cultural Marketing Act of 1946." *
 * *****

Additional copies may be obtained from the Statistical Reporting Service, 217 Old Federal Building, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

Dan C. Tucker
 Agricultural Statistician In Charge



TIMBER PRICES

Ohio Department of Agriculture
Division of Markets

U.S. Department of Agriculture
Statistical Reporting Service

Ohio Department of Natural Resources
Division of Forestry

June 30, 1969

PRICES PAID OHIO TIMBER PRODUCERS - MAY 1969

Timber prices in this report represent prices paid during May 1969 as reported by Ohio timber buyers. The report should be used only as a guide for determining the price and value of timber to be marketed. The current market supply and demand are the key factors in the price levels but other important factors in arriving at the sale price are: Accessibility; roads; right of ways; site and terrain, distance to market; tree or log size and quality. These factors explain the rather wide range in price within a specific grade, size and specie. The most recent comparable report represented prices paid in October 1968.

STUMPAGE PRICES - UP

Stumpage prices paid during May 1969 (Table 1) were stronger for the Western and Southern areas of Ohio and steady to stronger in Northeastern Ohio when compared with prices during October 1968. Each specie shared in the price gains with walnut and cherry leading the way.

DELIVERED SAW LOG PRICES - STEADY TO STRONGER

Prices paid Ohio timber producers for sawlogs delivered to buyers during May were steady to stronger for most species used for lumber. Walnut gained \$10., Yellow Poplar \$8., and Cherry \$5. per M board feet. Prices tended lower for container veneer sawlogs and up a little for pallets and blocking. Ash for handles, at \$134. per M board feet, had gained \$61. from October 1968.



TABLE 1 - Average and Range of Prices Paid Ohio Timber Producers for Stumpage
By Area, Species, and Use May 1969 ^{1/}

Use and Species	Western		Northeastern		Southeastern	
	Av. Price	Range	Av. Price	Range	Av. Price	Range
SAWTIMBER						
	Dollars Per M Board Feet					
Walnut	151	90-250	117	100-150	155	60-250
Cherry	81	55-120	66	40-100	64	30-105
White Oak	56	25- 90	44	22- 60	41	15-105
Hard or Sugar Maple	68	50-100	55	22- 80	48	15-105
Ash	61	30-125	53	20- 80	47	20-110
Red Oak	44	20- 70	43	20- 65	38	15- 80
Soft Maple	49	20- 70	40	20- 75	40	15- 90
Yellow Poplar	64	45- 80	46	20- 80	46	20-100
Basswood	46	25- 60	33	15- 50	44	20- 85
Elm, Cottonwood, Beech, Sycamore, Hickory and Pin Oak	25	10- 60	22	10- 40	25	12- 80
Yellow Pine	-	-	-	-	-	-
HANDLE TIMBER						
Ash	61	45- 80	80	75- 85	-	-
PALLET OR BLOCKING						
All species	25	15- 35	20	10- 30	26	5- 65
COOPERAGE						
White Oak	58	50- 65	-	-	73	65- 80
PULPWOOD						
All species	-	-	Dollars Per Ton		.96	.60-1.10

^{1/}Dash indicates too few reports to quote a range or average.

TABLE 2 - Range of Prices Paid Ohio Timber Producers for Sawlogs Delivered To Buyers, May 1969

Use and Species	Price	Prime	No. 1	No. 2	No. 3	
	for All Grades	:16" & Up :90% Clear	:14" & Up :75% Clear	:12" & Up :50% Clear	and Culls	
	Average	Range	Range	Range	Range	
<u>FOR LUMBER</u>						
		Dollars Per M Board Feet				
Walnut	170	85-300	150-700	100-325	50-200	40-150
Cherry	91	65-150	80-200	60-150	50-140	40- 60
White Oak	72	50-120	70-150	50-120	50- 80	40- 60
Hard Maple	83	60-135	90-160	60-120	50- 90	40- 60
Soft Maple	74	50-110	70-150	50-110	50- 80	45- 60
Red Oak	69	45-100	65-150	55-110	45- 80	40- 60
Ash	80	60-115	70-170	50-150	50-100	40- 80
Yellow Poplar	86	60-140	80-140	60-140	50- 90	40- 60
Elm, Beech, Cottonwood, and Hickory	51	40- 65	50- 80	50- 70	40- 70	40- 60
Basswood	72	45-100	80-150	60-120	50-100	45- 60
Other 1/	61	40-100	60-100	-	-	45-100
<u>FOR CONTAINER VENEER</u>						
Yellow Poplar and Cucumber	-	-				
Basswood	-	-				
Soft Maple	-	-				
Hard Maple	102	95-110				
Cottonwood	-	-				
Blackgum	-	-				
Beech and Elm	82	80- 85				
<u>FOR HANDLES</u>						
Ash	134	115-170				
<u>FOR PALLETS AND BLOCKING</u>						
All species	48	20- 60				

1/Includes Burr Oak, Pine, Cedar, Hackberry, Sycamore, Aspen, E. Hemlock, and Elm.

TABLE 3 - Average Prices Paid Ohio Timber Producers for Sawlogs Delivered to Buyers May 1969 with Comparisons 1/

Use and Species	Average		Prime		No. 1		No. 2		No. 3	
	Oct. 1968	May 1969	Oct. 1968	May 1969	Oct. 1968	May 1969	Oct. 1968	May 1969	Oct. 1968	May 1969
<u>FOR LUMBER</u>										
	Dollars Per M Board Feet									
Walnut	160	170	282	312	193	182	110	108	65	73
Cherry	86	91	137	139	104	106	66	75	49	52
White Oak	72	72	99	106	76	79	55	59	46	49
Hard Maple	82	83	123	127	95	93	64	67	48	51
Soft Maple	72	74	104	110	80	83	59	61	47	51
Red Oak	65	69	86	99	70	77	54	57	45	50
Ash	77	80	107	126	80	96	60	66	47	53
Yellow Poplar	78	86	115	117	89	90	63	67	48	52
Elm, Beech, Cottonwood, and Hickory	49	51	62	66	56	58	50	52	46	49
Basswood	72	72	107	106	84	83	61	65	48	51
Other 2/	-	61	70	87	67	-	45	-	41	58
<u>FOR CONTAINER VENEER</u>										
Yellow Poplar & Cucumber	98	-								
Basswood	-	-								
Soft Maple	-	-								
Hard Maple	105	102								
Cottonwood	-	-								
Blackgum	-	-								
Beech and Elm	85	82								
<u>FOR HANDLES</u>										
Ash	73	134								
<u>FOR PALLETS AND BLOCKING</u>										
All Species	46	48								

1/Dash indicates too few reports to quote an average. 2/Includes Burr Oak, Pine, Cedar, Hackberry, Sycamore, Aspen, E. Hemlock, and Elm.

TABLE 4 - Range of Prices Paid Ohio Timber Producers for Face and Commercial Veneer Stumpage, May 1969 1/

Species	16" - 20"		21" - 23"		24" - 27"		28" +	
	Prime	Select	Prime	Select	Prime	Select	Prime	Select
	Dollars Per M Board Feet							
Walnut	200-2250	150-1500	300-2500	250-2000	300-3000	250-2000	300-3000	250-2000
White Oak	60- 300	50- 125	125- 250	-	125- 300	70- 200	125- 300	70- 200
Cherry	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Others <u>2/</u>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1/Dash indicates too few reports to quote a range. 2/Includes Red Oak, White Ash, and Hard Maple.

TABLE 5 - Average Prices Paid Ohio Timber Producers for Face and Commercial Veneer Stumpage, May 1969 with Comparisons 1/

Species and Month		16" - 20"		21" - 23"		24" - 27"		28" +	
		Prime	Select	Prime	Select	Prime	Select	Prime	Select
		Dollars Per M Board Feet							
Walnut	Oct. '68	775	531	1050	625	1379	925	1758	1000
	May '69	904	662	1275	896	1506	986	1706	1093
White Oak	Oct. '68	127	82	156	111	165	111	165	115
	May '69	144	88	181	-	216	138	219	140
Cherry	Oct. '68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	May '69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Others <u>2/</u>	Oct. '68	126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	May '69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

1/Dash indicates too few reports to quote an average. 2/Included Red Oak, White Ash, and Hard Maple.

TABLE 6 - Range of Prices Paid Ohio Timber Producers for Hardwoods and Softwoods Delivered for Specified Uses, May 1969 1/

	Peeled	Unpeeled	Slab
Dollars Per Ton			
<u>HARDWOOD</u>			
Pulpwood	-	5.50 - 9.00	-
Charcoal	-	-	3.50 - 4.00
Ferro Alloy	-	-	-
<u>SOFTWOOD</u>	-	6.60 - 9.00	-

1/Dash indicates too few reports to quote a range.

The Division of Forestry, Ohio Department of Natural Resources; The Division of Markets, Ohio Department of Agriculture and the Statistical Reporting Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture are grateful for the cooperation of the buyers, mills and others which has made possible this report on Ohio timber products. The next report will be issued in November 1969.

```

*****
*
*   For this project State Funds are matched with
*   Federal Funds received from the Consumers Mar-
*   keting Service of the U. S. Department of Agri-
*   culture under provisions of the Agricultural
*   Marketing Act of 1946.
*
*****

```

Additional copies may be obtained from the Statistical Reporting Service, 217 Old Federal Building, Columbus, Ohio 43215

Dan C. Tucker
Agricultural Statistician, In Charge